01		
02		
03		
04	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
05	AT SEATTLE	
06	CURTIS S. THOMPSON,	CASE NO. C05-2064-JLR-MAT
07	Plaintiff,	
08	v.)	ORDER DIRECTING RESPONSE TO
09	OFFICER BURACH, et al.,	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO LIFT STAY
10	Defendants.	
11	,	
12	This is a civil rights action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On December 10, 2008, the	
13	Court received from plaintiff a motion to lift the stay which was imposed by Order dated May 9,	
14	2007. (Dkt. No. 116 at 1.) Plaintiff asserts in his motion that the stay is prejudicial and that there	
15	is no good reason to allow the stay to continue at this juncture. (Id.) Plaintiff noted his motion	
16	on the Court's calendar for consideration on January 2, 2009. To date, defendants have not filed	
17	a response to that motion. The Court believes that a response from defendants would aid the	
18	Court in its disposition of the instant motion.	
19	Accordingly, this Court does hereby ORDER as follows:	
20	(1) The King County defendants shall file a response to plaintiff's motion to lift the stay	
21	not later than March 2, 2009.	
22	(2) Plaintiff's motion to lift the stay (Dkt. No. 116) is RE-NOTED on the Court's	
	ORDER DIRECTING RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO LIFT STAY PAGE -1	

calendar for consideration on March 6, 2009. (3) The Clerk shall send copies of this Order to plaintiff, to counsel for defendants, and to the Honorable James L. Robart. DATED this 12th day of February, 2009. Mary Alice Theiler United States Magistrate Judge ORDER DIRECTING RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO LIFT STAY

PAGE -2